

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA**

MISTY WHITE, et al.,

On behalf of themselves and all others  
similarly situated,

*Plaintiffs,*

v.

Case No. 5:19-cv-1145-JD

[1] HON. PAUL HESSE, in his official  
capacity as Chief Judge of the 26th Judicial  
District, and

[2] HON. KHRISTIAN STRUBHAR, in her  
official capacity as Special Judge in the  
Canadian County District Court,

*Defendants.*

**PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY**

Pursuant to LCvR7.1(m), Plaintiffs respectfully submit notice of *Feltz v. Regaldo*, No. 18-cv-0298-SPF-JFJ, (N.D. Okla. April 3, 2024), attached as Exhibit 1, and *Betschart v. Oregon*, 103 F.4th 607 (9th Cir. 2024), attached as Exhibit 2, as supplemental authority directly relevant to issues raised in Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint (Doc. 64) and Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 65).

*Feltz* concerns many of the same substantive and procedural issues as this case. The plaintiffs challenged Tulsa County's bail practices as unconstitutional violations of plaintiffs Due Process and Equal Protection rights under the Fourteenth Amendment.

Exhibit 1, at 2. And the *Feltz* court likewise grappled with questions of judicial immunity and abstention akin to those raised by Defendants in this case. *Id.* at 25, 29.

On cross motions for summary judgment, the *Feltz* court found that:

(1) The judicial defendants' act of creating and distributing a bond schedule was an administrative act and therefore subject to injunctive relief. *Id.* at 26.

(2) *O'Shea* and *Younger* abstention were not applicable and therefore not necessary. *Id.* at 36.

(3) Plaintiffs' substantive due process claim was unnecessary given the procedural due process and equal protection claims. *Id.* at 44.

(4) Plaintiffs' procedural due process claim prevailed under the summary judgment standard regardless of whether the court applied the *Matthews* or *Medina* test. *Id.* at 57, 60

(5) Plaintiff's equal protection claim prevailed under the summary judgment standard. Exhibit 1, at 68.

Thus, the court granted the plaintiffs motion for summary judgment in reference to their procedural due process and equal protection claims and denied the motion in reference to their substantive due process claim. *Id.* at 72. The court set the case for an additional round of briefing and hearings to determine the necessary scope and form of the injunctive relief. *Id.* at 69-70

*Betschart* likewise concerns some of the same claims and circumstances at issue in this case. The plaintiffs in *Betschart* challenged Oregon's failure to provide them appointed counsel as a violation of their Sixth, Eighth, and Fourteenth Amendment rights. Exhibit 1,

at 10. The district court granted the plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction because it found the plaintiffs likely to succeed on the merits of their Sixth and Fourteenth Amendment claims. In doing so, the district court found that bail hearings are critical stages of the proceedings where the Sixth Amendment right to counsel attaches. *Id.* at 28. And the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed the district court's findings. *Id.* at 29.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Adam H. Hines

Adam H. Hines  
Oklahoma Bar Association Number: 35640  
Megan Lambert  
Oklahoma Bar Association Number: 33216  
American Civil Liberties Union of  
Oklahoma Foundation  
P.O. Box 13327  
Oklahoma City, OK 73113  
(405) 525-3831  
ahines@acluok.org  
mlambert@acluok.org

Trisha Trigilio\*  
Texas State Bar Number: 24075179  
Brandon J. Buskey\*  
Alabama Bar Number: ASB2753-A50B  
American Civil Liberties Union  
Foundation, Criminal Law Reform  
Project  
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 549-2500  
trishat@aclu.org  
bbuskey@aclu.org

Blake Johnson

Aaron Lewis\*  
California Bar Number: 284244  
Covington & Burling LLP  
1999 Avenue of the Stars  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-4643  
(424) 332-4800  
alewis@cov.com

Zoe Brennan-Krohn\*  
California Bar Number: 324912  
American Civil Liberties Union  
Foundation, Disability Rights Program  
39 Drumm Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
(415) 343-0762  
zbrennan-krohn@aclu.org

Oklahoma Bar Association Number: 32433

Tyler Box

Oklahoma Bar Association Number: 31817

Justin Williams

Oklahoma Bar Association Number: 32539

Clayburn Curtis

Oklahoma Bar Association Number: 30538

Overman Legal Group, PLLC

809 NW 36<sup>th</sup> St.

Oklahoma City, OK 73118

(405) 605-6718

blakejohnson@overmanlegal.com

tylerbox@overmanlegal.com

justinwilliams@overmanlegal.com

claycurtis@overmanlegal.com

Weston Watts

Oklahoma Bar Association Number: 33299

Thomas A Ryan PLLC

6303 N Portland Ave, Suite 300

Oklahoma City, OK 73112

(405) 249-8377

Watts.weston@gmail.com

Ryan Kiesel

Ryan Kiesel, Attorney at Law, PLLC

3022 NW 39th St., No. 57532

Oklahoma City, OK 73157

(405) 303-1215

ryan@rkoklaw.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

\*Admitted Pro Hac Vice

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on July 19, 2024, I electronically filed the forgoing Notice of Supplemental Authority with the Clerk of Court via the Court's CM/ECF system, which effects service upon all counsel of record.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Adam H. Hines

Adam H. Hines

Oklahoma Bar Number: 35640

AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

FOUNDATION OF OKLAHOMA

P.O. Box 13327

Oklahoma City, OK 73113

Tel.: 405-524-8511

ahines@acluok.org

*Counsel for Plaintiffs*